

Lec-5-HW-3-UTM-halting-SOLN

Reading:
TM Lecture notes and PP Chp. 3:

3.6(sequential machines),
3.6.1(combination lock),
3.6.2(state),
3.6.3(FSM, clock),
3.6.4(danger light example).

Do the following problems from PP: 3.40 (tic-tac-toe FSM), 3.41 (soda machine FSM)

(PROBLEM 1) -----

A computer is in essence a Universal Turing Machine (UTM). We will know we have built a computer if what we build can do anything a UTM can do. What can a UTM do? NB-The question does not ask what TMs in general can or cannot do, the question asks what UTMs do.

(PROBLEM 2) -----

Consider a Turing Machine (TM) with symbol set, $\{0, 1\}$. Recall that we refer to a TM's tape configuration as bounded if all 1s on the tape are within some finite distance from the initial head position. We saw in lecture that every bounded tape configuration can be viewed as an encoding of a binary number, and that a TM can be said to compute the integer function f if, for every n , starting that TM with a tape encoding n will result in its halting with the tape containing an encoding of $f(n)$. Given TMs that compute $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, respectively, describe how to construct a TM that computes $f(g(n))$. You may use boxes to represent the two machines, indicating how to connect their halt and start states.

(PROBLEM 3) -----

A simulating UTM can read descriptions of machines written, i.e., encoded, in a way appropriate for that UTM. We call that encoding method a language. Here we deem the language the UTM's ISA, Instruction Set Architecture. There can be many different UTMs with differing ISAs. Suppose we have two UTMs, UTM-1 and UTM-2, using the same symbol set, but with different ISAs, L1 and L2, respectively.

(a) Can a description of machine M written in L-1 be used as input to UTM-2? That is, if UTM-2 has this on its input tape,

... x desc-L1(M) ...

where "desc-L1(M)" is a description of M encoded in L1, what would happen? Will M be simulated correctly? (Make a general statement about the consequences of running UTM-2 with that input, assuming the R/W head was appropriately positioned initially: for both UTM-1 and UTM-2 the R/W head should be on the first cell to the right of the input.)

(b) Suppose we have know the design of a translating TM, T_r , that can translate desc-L2-(M) to desc-L1(M). We have a UTM-1, and we also desc-L2-(M). We want to have UTM-1 simulate T_r , which will translate desc-L2(M) to desc-L1(M), and then have UTM-1 simulate M. What language would we use to describe T_r ? Show the input tape to UTM-1. Assume we can restart UTM-1 after the translation is completed and T_r halts, having repositioned its R/W head so that it will simulate M. T_r expects its input to be to the left of its R/W head when it starts.

(PROBLEM 4) -----

If we can build a Finite State Machine (FSM), a read/write tape, and a read/write head, and connect them to work together as a TM, we can build any TM, including a UTM. If we can build a UTM, we can build a computer. Let's say we are building such a thing. At what point in the process should we make a decision about what our UTM/computer's ISA should be? NB-"Build" means design and actually implement in physical hardware.

(PROBLEM 5)-----

Suppose we have a UTM, T_{sim} . T_{sim} 's R/W head's correct initial position is on the first blank cell to the right of its input. Since T_{sim} is a TM, it can be described by some encoding scheme; for instance, by using unary encoding for states and symbols and laying out the rule table as we did in our prior assignment. For instance, if we have this on T_{sim} 's tape initially,

... y desc(X) ...

with the R/W head positioned correctly, T_{sim} will simulate machine X reading y. Here, "desc()" means the description of a machine in an encoding suitable for T_{sim} , and "y" is the encoded tape for the simulated machine. Consider this input tape for T_{sim} ,

... y desc(T_{sim}) ...

a) What are the consequences of running T_{sim} with this input?

b) Suppose $y = [x \text{ desc}(M)]$, where M is a turing machine and x is the input for that machine, encoded appropriately as input to T_{sim} . Explain what results from running T_{sim} with input,

... [x desc(M)] desc(T_{sim}) ...

NB--There is a double-layered encoding of input and tapes here. That is, $y = [x \text{ desc}(M)]$ is encoded as an input tape suitable for the machine described, desc(T_{sim}), to read. Within that encoding, x is encoded appropriately as M's input tape.

(PROBLEM 7)-----

We proved in lecture that the function $\text{Halts}(x,M)$, which determines whether M reading x halts, is incomputable. For the following function, describe whether it is computable or not and explain your reasoning.

$\text{HaltsBefore}(x,M,s)$

If M reading x would halt within s steps, this machine halts after printing "1"; otherwise it halts after printing "0."

The input tape for the TM, HaltsBefore , looks like this,

... xMs ...

(Note that the input is written " xMs ". This is just a convention to indicate that the input tape does not have separators between sections of tape. Consequently, the total input looks like a single string. Also note that we use " M " as shorthand for " $\text{desc}(M)$ ". The technical details of how our TM could "know" that x ends and $\text{desc}(M)$ begins is a bit complicated. Suffice it to say that we can encode a machine in a such a way that it is possible to detect the begin and end of the encoding, regardless of what is adjacent to it. This is called a "self-delimiting encoding".)