COSC 545, Spring 2012: Problem Set #2

Due: Wed., 2/15, at the beginning of class (hand in hard copy). **Covers:** Lectures 5 to 8.

Collaboration: You may collaborate with classmates. Every student must write up his or her own answers and list collaborators. No sources outside of the assigned textbook may be consulted.

A Note on TM Description Formality: As described in class and in the Sipser textbook, there are different levels of detail with which you can describe a Turing machine. The most possible detail is defining every object of the formal mathematical description. The least amount of detail is a high-level description of an algorithm.

For this assignment, with the exception of problem 1 (which asks for a state machine), the appropriate amount of detail to use when describing a TM is the same level used in Example 3.7 from Chapter 3.1 of Sipser (e.g., describe, in words, the behavior of the TM).

Problems

- Practicing Formal TM Definition: Provide the state machine diagram for a deterministic singletape TM that decides the language {w ∈ {(,)}* | w describes properly nested parentheses}. In this problem, assume the input alphabet Σ = {(,)}. You can define the tape alphabet Γ however you want. In addition to your state diagram, also provide a brief text description of your TM's behavior, to aid the grader.
- 2. *Equivalence of TM Variants:* 3.11, from Sipser. (You may use any result proved in Chapter 3.2 of Sipser.)
- 3. *More Equivalence of TM Variants:* Exercise 3.3 asks that you modify the proof of Theorem 3.16 (each non-deterministic TM has an equivalent deterministic TM) to show that a language is decidable iff a non-deterministic TM decides it. The solution to this exercise is given in the *Selected Solutions* for the chapter. This sample solution, however, is missing details. This problem asks you to fill in some of these missing details:
 - (a) The description of Exercise 3.3 includes a tree theorem that you are allowed to assume. This theorem requires two assumptions about a tree before it can be applied. Argue why both of these assumptions are true in the context where the theorem is applied in the sample solution for Exercise 3.3.
 - (b) Modify the description the TM D from the proof of Theorem 3.16 to implement the new Stage 5 described in the sample solution.
- 4. *Decidability:* 4.12, from Sipser. (You may use any result proved in Chapter 4 of Sipser, as well as any result about regular languages proved in class, earlier problem sets, or the textbook.)
- 5. Connections Between Decidability and Recognizability: 4.17, from Sipser.
- 6. *Mapping Reducibility:* This problem has two parts. In the following, you can assume the input alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.

- (a) 5.24, from Sipser.
- (b) Show that for the language J from part (a): $J \leq_m \bar{J}$.
- 7. *Turing Reducibility:* We say a language L' is a *superior twin* for language L if: $L \leq_T L'$ and $L' \not\leq_T L$. Prove that every language has a superior twin.