Neural sequence modeling

Jakob Prange

with graphics and inspirations by Taylor Arnold, Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, Dan Jurafsky, James Martin, Austin Blodgett

Review: Neural networks

- Simplest architecture: Feed-forward
- "Multilayer Perceptron"
- "Depth" = how many hidden layers
- One-to-one



Neural networks and text

- Number of cells per layer is fixed
 - Number of inputs is fixed
- Length of a sentence, word, sound signal, ...

• Not fixed!

- <u>Idea</u>: Think of language data as streaming in over time.
 - For each new input, we update our prediction.

Today: Recurrent neural networks (RNNs)





Agenda for today

- What is an RNN?
- What can it be used for?
- How is it trained? (some math, but not too much)
 - The problem of vanishing and exploding gradients
- The LSTM model and some variants
- Interpretability

What is an RNN?





What is an RNN?





What is an RNN?

Some notes

- Weights are shared between each time step
- We initialize a new RNN for each sequence!
- "Deep" in the length of the sequence





RNNs as Deep Networks



RNNs as Deep Networks



RNNs as input to other NNs

- Usually, we feed the hidden representation produced by an RNN into another layer or multi-layered network to produce a prediction, which can be...
 - <u>per-token</u> (tagging) or for the whole <u>sequence</u> (classification)
 - non-probabilistic or probabilistic (using softmax)

• Or we are interested in learning embeddings of the input itself



Text classification

- Let RNN read and process input text
- Use hidden representation of last input token to make prediction for the whole sequence
- E.g., sentiment analysis



Language modeling

- Recall generative (n-gram) language models
 - Given the previous context, predict next word
- How can we implement this as an RNN?

Neural language modeling



http://torch.ch/blog/2016/07/25/nce.html

POS tagging

- Recall generative (**HMM**) POS tagging
 - Given previous POS tag, predict tag that is most likely to generate current word
 - Find optimal sequence (Viterbi)
- By default, RNNs (as neural networks in general) are discriminative, not generative!
 - Can model output directly, at each timestep



Issues with the vanilla RNN

Despite having no explicit independence assumptions, distant cells are unlikely to influence each other.

- 1. At **prediction** time, because new inputs "overwrite" old memory
- 2. At training time, because of how backpropagation works



RNNs can be used to ______ sequences

of arbitrary length, thanks to a self-loop on the hidden layer

 RNNs can be used to <u>classify</u> sequences of arbitrary length, thanks to a self-loop on the hidden layer

RNNs can be used to ______classify, generate__ sequences of arbitrary length, thanks to a self-loop on the hidden layer 2 for the price of 1

- RNNs can be used to <u>classify, generate, learn representations of</u> sequences of arbitrary length, thanks to a self-loop on the hidden layer
- Shared weights between time steps
- New initialization per sequence
- Can be "unrolled" and viewed as deep FFNN



Training a Deep Neural Network

- High-level: Tuning of hyperparameters and architecture
 - Dimensionality of hidden layers
 - Dropout rate
 - Learning rate

Batch size

Ο

- Dropout Per iteration, randomly choose a set of neurons that are removed from network at prediction time.
- This reduces the degrees of freedom and prevents overfitting by forcing important information to be stored redundantly.
- <u>Specific to RNNs:</u> "Resolution" of input: sentences, words, characters, ...

Batch learning

Instead of doing a pass over the whole training set in each iteration (epoch), training can be done in "mini-batches" that contain only a subset of the data.

This is called **stochastic** gradient descent, because each update is based only on a sample / an estimate of the true data.

The most extreme case is a batch size of 1 (one training example per iteration).

Training a Deep Neural Network

- Low-level: Backpropagation
 - Error-driven (minimizing loss function)
 - Lots of matrix multiplications
 - Made possible through modern computing power, especially GPUs (and more recently, TPUs)

Training a Deep Neural Network



Gradient flow in a FFNN



Jurafsky & Martin

Gradient flow in an RNN



Jurafsky & Martin

Gradient flow in an RNN



Computing gradient of h0 involves many factors of W. If gradients in deeper layers are > 1, they will get exponentially bigger. If gradients in deeper layers are < 1, they will get exponentially smaller.

Li, Johnson, Yeung

Exploding and vanishing gradients





Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997, accessed through lecture slides by Arnold

t



Arnold



Arnold





Cell state / context memory is separated from cell output, and is only changed by two linear functions at each time step.



Forget gate determines if previous context should be taken into account.



$$i_t = \sigma \left(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i \right)$$
$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)$$

Arnold

Input gate determines if and how much of the current input should be taken into account.



Cell state / context memory is now completely determined and can be calculated directly.



$$o_t = \sigma \left(W_o \left[h_{t-1}, x_t \right] + b_o \right)$$
$$h_t = o_t * \tanh \left(C_t \right)$$

Arnold

Output gate determines if and how much of the cell state should be yielded as output.

- Separates cell state and output
- Uninterrupted gradient flow
- Infinite memory is regulated via gates to better capture long-range dependencies

Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU)

- Simpler: combines forget and input gates
- Equally powerful: gates still take care of vanishing/exploding gradients



$$z_t = \sigma \left(W_z \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] \right)$$
$$r_t = \sigma \left(W_r \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] \right)$$
$$\tilde{h}_t = \tanh \left(W \cdot [r_t * h_{t-1}, x_t] \right)$$
$$h_t = (1 - z_t) * h_{t-1} + z_t * \tilde{h}_t$$

Stacked RNN



Jurafsky & Martin

Bidirectional RNN



Jurafsky & Martin

RNNs are powerful!

tyntd-iafhatawiaoihrdemot lytdws e ,tfti, astai f ogoh eoase rrranbyne 'nhthnee e plia tklrgd t o idoe ns,smtt h ne etie h,hregtrs nigtike,aoaenns lng

train more

"Tmont thithey" fomesscerliund Keushey. Thom here sheulke, anmerenith ol sivh I lalterthend Bleipile shuwy fil on aseterlome

coaniogennc Phe lism thond hon at. MeiDimorotion in ther thize."

train more

Aftair fall unsuch that the hall for Prince Velzonski's that me of her hearly, and behs to so arwage fiving were to it beloge, pavu say falling misfort how, and Gogition is so overelical and ofter.

train more

"Why do what that day," replied Natasha, and wishing to himself the fact the princess, Princess Mary was easier, fed in had oftened him. Pierre aking his soul came to the packs and drove up his father-in-law women.

RNNs are powerful!

PANDARUS:

Alas, I think he shall be come approached and the day When little srain would be attain'd into being never fed, And who is but a chain and subjects of his death, I should not sleep.

Second Senator:

They are away this miseries, produced upon my soul, Breaking and strongly should be buried, when I perish The earth and thoughts of many states.

DUKE VINCENTIO:

Well, your wit is in the care of side and that.

Second Lord:

They would be ruled after this chamber, and my fair nues begun out of the fact, to be conveyed, Whose noble souls I'll have the heart of the wars.

Clown:

Come, sir, I will make did behold your worship.

VIOLA: I'll drink it.

VIOLA:

Why, Salisbury must find his flesh and thought That which I am not aps, not a man and in fire, To show the reining of the raven and the wars To grace my hand reproach within, and not a fair are hand, That Caesar and my goodly father's world; When I was heaven of presence and our fleets, We spare with hours, but cut thy council I am great, Murdered and by thy master's ready there My power to give thee but so much as hell: Some service in the noble bondman here, Would show him to her wine.

KING LEAR:

O, if you were a feeble sight, the courtesy of your law, Your sight and several breath, will wear the gods With his heads, and my hands are wonder'd at the deeds, So drop upon your lordship's head, and your opinion Shall be against your honour.

RNNs are powerful!

Proof. Omitted. This since $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in \mathcal{G}$ the diagram **Lemma 0.1.** Let C be a set of the construction. Let C be a gerber covering. Let F be a guasi-coherent sheaves of O-modules. We have to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} = \mathcal{O}_{X}(\mathcal{L})$ gor, *Proof.* This is an algebraic space with the composition of sheaves \mathcal{F} on X_{itale} we have $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{F}) = \{morph_1 \times \mathcal{O}_X (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})\}$ where \mathcal{G} defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{O} -modules. X**Lemma 0.2.** This is an integer Z is injective. Morsets d(Oxxa,G) $\operatorname{Spec}(K_{*})$ Proof. See Spaces, Lemma ??. is a limit. Then G is a finite type and assume S is a flat and F and G is a finite **Lemma 0.3.** Let S be a scheme. Let X be a scheme and X is an affine open type f_{\bullet} . This is of finite type diagrams, and covering. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a canonical and locally of finite type. Let X be a scheme. • the composition of G is a regular sequence. Let X be a scheme which is equal to the formal complex. O_{X'} is a sheaf of rings. The following to the construction of the lemma follows. *Proof.* We have see that $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and \mathcal{F} is a finite type representable by Let X be a scheme. Let X be a scheme covering. Let algebraic space. The property \mathcal{F} is a finite morphism of algebraic stacks. Then the cohomology of X is an open neighbourhood of U. $b: X \to Y' \to Y \to Y \to Y' \times Y \to X.$ *Proof.* This is clear that G is a finite presentation, see Lemmas ??. be a morphism of algebraic spaces over S and Y. A reduced above we conclude that U is an open covering of C. The functor F is a "field $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\overline{x}} -1(\mathcal{O}_{X_{dial_{e}}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{e}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\lambda}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}^{\overline{v}})$ *Proof.* Let X be a nonzero scheme of X. Let X be an algebraic space. Let \mathcal{F} be a is an isomorphism of covering of \mathcal{O}_{X_i} . If \mathcal{F} is the unique element of \mathcal{F} such that Xquasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. The following are equivalent is an isomorphism. (1) \mathcal{F} is an algebraic space over S. The property \mathcal{F} is a disjoint union of Proposition ?? and we can filtered set of (2) If X is an affine open covering. presentations of a scheme O_X -algebra with F are opens of finite type over S. If \mathcal{F} is a scheme theoretic image points. Consider a common structure on X and X the functor $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ which is locally of If \mathcal{F} is a finite direct sum \mathcal{O}_X , is a closed immersion, see Lemma ??. This is a finite type. sequence of F is a similar morphism.



Pick a neuron in the hidden representation and trace when it "fires".



Quote detection cell

sole importance of the crossing of the Berezina lies 1 n it plainly and indubitably proved the fallacy of all the plans for cutting off the enemy's retreat and the soundness of the only possible of action -- the one Kutuzov and the general mass of the demanded -- namely, simply to follow the enemy up. The French crowd fled a continually increasing speed and all its energy was directed to reaching its goal. It fled like a wounded animal and it was impossible to block its path. This was shown not so much by the arrangements made for crossing as by what took place at the bridges. When the bridges broke down, unarmed soldiers, people from Moscow and women with children who were with the French transport, all--carried on by vis inertiae-pressed forward into boats and into the ice-covered water and did not. surrender.

Line length tracking cell

- Probing tasks to discern whether these models implicitly learn different aspects of syntax, semantics
- E.g., <u>Linzen et al. (2018)</u>, <u>Linzen et al. (2016)</u>, <u>Ettinger et al. (2016)</u>, <u>Ettinger</u> and Linzen (2016)

Summary

- Feed-forward NNs are extremely powerful, but not well-suited for language data
- Recurrent NNs model variable-width data as streaming in over time
- Many different applications in NLP (and elsewhere, e.g., Bioinformatics)
- LSTMs and GRUs solve the problem of exploding and vanishing gradients
 - more parameters to train
- Drawbacks:
 - usually require large amounts of training data
 - tricky to interpret what exactly is learned